



Docket No.: 218073US2

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/044,944
Applicants: Koji SASAKI, et al.
Filing Date: January 15, 2002
For: WEB-ADDRESS CONVERSION SYSTEM AND
WEB-ADDRESS CONVERSION METHOD
Group Art Unit: 2152
Examiner: FLEARY, C. F.

SIR:


Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

RESTRICTION RESPONSE

Our check in the amount of -0- is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number


22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone)
(703) 413-2220 (fax)

Raymond F. Cardillo
Registration No. 40,440

I:\ATTY\STD\21's\218073US\218073US.PTO CVR.DOC

2152



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

GREGORY J. MAIER
(703) 413-3000
GMAIER@OBLON.COM

RAYMOND F. CARDILLO, JR.
(703) 413-3000
RCARDILLO@OBLON.COM

DOCKET NO: 218073US2



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF :
KOJI SASAKI, ET AL. : EXAMINER: FLEARY C. F.
SERIAL NO: 10/044,944 :
FILED: JANUARY 15, 2002 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2152
FOR: WEB-ADDRESS CONVERSION :
SYSTEM AND WEB-ADDRESS
CONVERSION METHOD

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated March 21, 2005, Applicants provisionally elect with traverse Group I, Claims 1-4 and 6 for examination on the merits in the present application. Applicant makes this election with the understanding that Applicant is not prejudiced against filing one or more divisional applications covering the non-elected claims.

Applicants traverse because, according to MPEP § 803:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

Although the Office Action has identified separate classifications, Applicants respectfully traverse the Restriction Requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner; whereas it would be a


Application No. 10/044,944
Reply to Office Action of March 21, 2005

serious burden on Applicants to prosecute and maintain separate applications on the restricted inventions.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the Restriction Requirement be withdrawn and a full examination on the merits of Claims 1-7 be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Gregory J. Maier
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 06/04)

Raymond F. Cardillo
Registration No. 40,440

GJM/RFC/STD/kke

I:\ATTY\STD\21'S\218073US\218073US.REST RESP.DOC